

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 grins 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,300	04/19/2006	Stanislaus Martinus Petrus Mutsers	4662-175 9482	
	7590 06/16/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LANGEL, WAYNE A		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/576,300 MUTSERS, STANISLAUS MARTINUS PETRUS Office Action Summary

carried patent term adjustment.	See 37 CFK 1.704(0).	

Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit						
		Wayne Langel	1793						
- The MAILING DATE of t	his communication ann	ears on the cover sheet with the c		dross -					
Period for Reply	ть соптинсацоп арр	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	iuress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a map by be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified above, the micromine statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If all reply which the soft of secretary the state of the secretary of th									
Status									
1) Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on	_							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is	in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is					
closed in accordance wi	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pen	ding in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s		un from consideration							
_ ′		WITHOUT CONSIDERATION.							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.									
- ··- ·									
7) Claim(s) is/are ob	•	and the second second							
8) Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers									
9) The specification is object	ted to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on 1	9 April 2006 is/are: a)	accepted or b) □ objected to I	by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request	that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made	e of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
A4414/-)									
Attachment(s) 1) ∑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
Notice of References Cited (P10-89 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav		4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-19-06.		6) 🔲 Other:							

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200806092

Application/Control Number: 10/576,300

Art Unit: 1793

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Niks et al or Kayaert et al or Chanen et al. No distinction is seen between the processes disclosed by Niks et al, Kayaert et al and Chanen et al, and that recited in applicant's claims. Niks et al, Kayaert and Chanen et al all disclose the fluid- bed granulation of urea melts to obtain granular urea, and all teach that biuret should be avoided in the urea product, disclosing biuret contents of less that 0.1 % in the urea product. (See col. 4, lines 19-29 of Kayaert et al, col. 4, lines 35-49 of Niks et al, and col. 6, lines 22-42 and col. 7, lines 3-20 of Chanenet al.) The amounts of biuret and water in the urea melt and urea granules would inherently satisfy the relationship recited inn applicant's claim 1, when the urea product resulting from the granulation process has such a low biuret content.

Kono et al and Fabris et al are made of record for disclosing the production if urea granules. Application/Control Number: 10/576,300

Art Unit: 1793

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Wayne Langel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793